

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,941	03/03/2004	Rakesh Agrawal	ARC920010030US2	4117
John L. Rogitz	7590 05/21/2007		EXAM	INER
ROGITZ & ASSOCIATES		NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
Suite 3120 750 B Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA 92101			2161	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,941	AGRAWAL ET AL.	,			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CamLinh Nguyen	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e. cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	Anril 2007	•	••			
	s action is non-final.	,				
, ——	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under			THEIRS IS			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-35</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	• •				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner	4			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc			R 1 121(d)			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•					
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		n received in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Burea	,	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
	•		•			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	•			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is response to communication filed on 4/12/2007.
- 2. Claims 12 35 are currently pending for further processing.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,941

Art Unit: 2161

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 12 – 35 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,763,350. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been prima facie obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a computer program device and method into a computer system as claimed in the patent because the computer system in the patent '350 claimed similar method as in application '941.

The following is the table comparing some claims in the instant application and the Patent No. 6,763,350

	' 194	' 350
Claims	12, 24 - 25	1
	13	1
	14, 26	2
	15, 27	3
	16, 28	4
	17, 29	5
	18, 30	7
	19,31	8
	20, 32	9

Application/Control Number: 10/791,941

Art Unit: 2161

21, 33	10
22, 34	6
23, 35	11

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments see the response to Non-Final rejection, filed 4/12/2007, with respect to rejection to claims 12 - 35 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 12 - 35 under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion '

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,941

Art Unit: 2161

0/791,941

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272 - 4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen, Cam-Linh

Myrugen Combat

Art Unit 2161

LN

Page 5